P

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I received a letter September 28, 2007 from the Office of the Commissioner for Patents at the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) Mr. Gregory Morse, concerning my complaint that U.S. Patent #3,534,676 granted by the PTO is false, invalid and is a violation of US and Patent laws. 3,534,676 describes a vending machine with a built-in "microwave oven" shown as a box like device with food item entering in thru a rear door and dispensed out of a trap door. A violation of the U.S. Radiation Protection regulations that require microwave ovens have a front door that closes locks and seals to protect from radiation leakage. 3,534,676 also violated Patent law 35 U.S.C. #112 re. Specifications "The specifications shall contain a written description of the invention, and manner of the making and using it, in full, clear, concise, and exact terms". But 3,534,676 stated: "All features and control of the oven will be omitted" Regardless the PTO issued #3,534,676 Defendant, Gregory Morse, determined to conceal violations, wrote 09/28/07 letter re. the false #3,534,676 referring (in 5th paragraph of the letter) to MPEP 2164 "Enablement Requirement" a four (4) paragraph description of "Patentability" but Mr. Gregory Morse fraudulently misrepresented MPRP by altering, concealing, omitting two (2) of the four

• Sender: Please print your name, address, and ZIP+4 in this box
Patrick Smith

12335 Santa Monica Blod

Los Angeles, CA 90025

Haladlantland Addad Adda ad Alaland Haladdalah

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SEC	CTION	COMPLETE	THIS SECTION ON DI	ELIVERY
 Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Als item 4 if Restricted Delivery is d Print your name and address or so that we can return the card t Attach this card to the back of t or on the front if space permits. 	esired. I the reverse O you. he mailpiece,	A. Signature X B. Received	by (Printed Name)	☐ Agent ☐ Addressee C. Date of Delivery
1. Article Addressed to: Gragory Mors US Patent + Trade POBox 145	e mark Office		AUG 0 7	50U8 ow: □ No
Alexandria, VA 2	2313 - 1450	3. Service Ty	red	
Article Number (Transfer from service label)	7008 05	00 0000	3554 8344	
PS Form 3811, February 2004	Domestic Ret	urn Receipt		102595-02-M-1540

25+2519

28

paragraphs, for the purpose of making the MPEP appear to allow the violations by the false patent. The two (2) paragraphs Morse omitted actually condemn the 3,534,7676 violations. (see encl. actual four (4) paragraph MPEP 2164 Enablement Requirement) Defendant Morse described in the letter paragraph two (2) and paragraph three (3) but he omitted paragraph one (1) and paragraph four (4) condemning false patent 3,534,676 Defendant's letter September 28, 2007 a false representation, made knowingly with intent that I detrimentally rely on fraudulent misrepresentations, and omission of material facts. Mr. Morse sent the fraudulent 09/28/07 letter in response to my 09/12/07 letter to Senator Patrick Leahy Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, complaining about the false patent #3,534,676 beginning with a denial of my patent application on a vending machine with a built-in actual microwave oven, and I complained to Senator Feinstein's LA office. resulting in the PTO admitting 3,534,676 was a mistake and the PTO then granted patent #5,598,947 to me. But the PTO subsequently canceled my patent saying I failed to pay a maintenance fee, I never heard of the maintenance fee. I paid the fee and a penalty so as to reinstate my patent. To insure that another cancellation did not happen again I wrote a letter to the Patent Commissioner, stating that I intended to pay the next maintenance fee on February 5, 2005 and I emphasized, if not correct please let me know. Later the PTO with my letter in their possession, canceled my patent for not paying fee February 4, 2005 I realized I would have to sue the Patent Office for misconduct to recover my losses, that included a signed contract to purchase my patent #5,598,947 for one million, one hundred twenty five thousand (\$1,125,000) and the stress of continually dealing with this PTO misconduct. I wrote to President Bush explaining the PTO misconduct, and he responded that he would send the problem to the Commerce Department. A prior letter to President

Bush was referred to the Patent Commissioner. I then received a letter from his secretary telling me to stop writing to the Patent Office. As much of a problem as this is for me, it is a more serious problem for the government. This misconduct by the Patent Office will shock the public's confidence in the validity of U.S. issued patents. The question is, who inside the patent office did this? No patent examiner would approve a box device with a trap door described as a microwave oven, and no patent examiner would allow the patent specification requirement to describe the invention in full, clear, concise, and exact terms to be so flagrantly dismissed as: "all features and control of the oven will be omitted". This fraudulent deceit, misrepresentation and omission, violates both US and patent laws.

12hh Salh 5/21/08

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge R. Gary Klausner and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Suzanne H. Segal.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV08- 3371 RGK (SSx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

	 	 ===	 =====	=====	====	 	 ===	====	===	 ===	====	 ===

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	IJ	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Page 5 of 5

Filed 05/23/2008

Case 2:08-cv-03371-RGK-SS Document 3

CV-01A (01/01)

SUMMONS

Document 3-2

Case 2:08-cv-03371-RGK-SS

Filed 05/23/2008

Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES	
CENTRAL DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
Patrick Smith	CASE NUMBER:
Plaintiff(s)	CV-8-3371-RGK (SSX)
Evegay Morse Defendant(s)	PROOF OF SERVICE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT (Use separate proof of service for each person/party served)
	arty to this action and I served copies of the (specify documents): alias summons first amended complaint second amended complaint third amended complaint
other (specify):	
2. Person served: a. Defendant (name): Evegory Morse b. Other (specify name and title or relationship to the part	rty/business named):
c. Address where papers were served: U.S. Paten	+Trademak Office FOBOX 1450 Alexandria VA 1450
3. Manner of Service in compliance with (the appropriate box m	
a. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	
b.	
4. I served the person named in Item 2:	
 a. By Personal service. By personally delivering copies conservator or similar fiduciary and to the minor if at l 	. If the person is a minor, by leaving copies with a parent, guardian, east twelve (12) years of age.
1. Papers were served on (date):	at (time):
b. By Substituted service. By leaving copies:	
1. (home) at the dwelling house, usual place of abode	e, or usual place of business of the person served in the presence of a ears of age, who was informed of the general nature of the papers.
 (business) or a person apparently in charge of the of the general nature of the papers. 	office of place of business, at least 18 years of age, who was informed
3. Papers were served on (date):	at (time):
	copies to the person served in Item 2(b) at the place where the copies
5. papers were mailed on (date): 09/24/06	8
6. due diligence. I made at least three (3) attempts t	

4	c.		Mail and acknowledgment of service. By mailing (by first-conserved, with two (2) copies of the form of Waiver of Service prepaid addressed to the sender. (Attach completed Waiver	of Summons and Complaint and a return envelope, postage
*	d.		Service on domestic corporation, unincorporated associate 4(h)) (C.C.P. 416.10) By delivering, during usual business he managing or general agent, or to any other agent authorized by a agent is one authorized by statute and the statute so requires, by the defendant.	ours, a copy of the summons and complaint to an officer, a uppointment or by law to receive service of process and, if the
	e.		Substituted service on domestic corporation, unincorporate (C.C.P. 415.20 only) By leaving during usual office hours, a conserved with the person who apparently was in charge and there to the persons at the place where the copies were left in full of California Secretary of State requires a court order. (Attach as	oppy of the summons and complaint in the office of the person after by mailing (by first-class mail, postage prepaid) copies ompliance with C.C.P. 415.20. Substitute service upon the
	f.		Service on a foreign corporation. In any manner prescribed	for individuals by FRCP 4(f).
	g.	×	Certified or registered mail service. By mailing to an address requiring a return receipt) copies to the person served. (Attack by the person served).	ess outside California (by first-class mail, postage prepaid, a signed return receipt or other evidence of actual receipt
	h.		Other (specify code section and type of service):	
5.	Ser	vice	upon the United States, and Its Agencies, Corporations or O	fficers.
	a.		by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to the cleri accept service, pursuant to the procedures for the Office of the U of the summons and complaint by registered or certified mail Office.	J.S. Attorney for acceptance of service, or by sending a copy
			Name of person served: Evegory Morse	
			Title of person served:	
			Date and time of service: (date): $09/24/08$	at (time):×
	b.		By sending a copy of the summons and complaint by registered at Washington, D.C. (Attach signed return receipt or other e	or certified mail to the Attorney General of the United States
	c.		By sending a copy of the summons and complaint by registe (Attach signed return receipt or other evidence of actual re-	cred or certified mail to the officer, agency or corporation ceipt by the person served).
6.	Att	the ti	ime of service I was at least 18 years of age and not a party to th	is action.
7.	Per	son s	serving (name, address and telephone number):	
1	14	LL	OSTRANKINEELL-OUTLAN	a. Fee for service: \$
1	9)	8610h	b. Not a registered California process server
a	1	1	10490017	c. Exempt from registration under B&P 22350(b)
	<u> </u>	14	- CHWOIC	d. Registered California process server
8.		I am	n a California sheriff, marshal, or constable and I certify that the	foregoing is true and correct.
I de	clare	e und	ler penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
Dat	e: <i>(</i>	7_	24-08 Pr	Jo Fruith & M. The
	٠			(Signature)

•	·
	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
O C - MATERIAL HICE	CARLETUNBER
Patric FOROFFICE USE PLAINTIFF(S)	OITLI
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV08-3371-RGK (SSX)
	121
	Hlias
Gregory Morse DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
e i de la company de la compan	
TO: DEFENDANT(S):	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	EUSE ONLY is on you (not counting the day you received it), you amended complaint
=on OFFIC	FOSE
Within days after advice of the summor must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached \(\sigma \)	s on you (not counting the day you received it), you omplaint [7] amended complaint
☐ counterclaim ☐ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1	2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer
or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney,	HTVICK Smith, whose address is
judgment by default will be entered against you for the r	elief demanded in the complaint. You also must file
your answer or motion with the court.	ANI Y
	TICE IISE UNL
-0 D	OFFICE USE ONLY NANA PASHAD
FUN	
	DANA NASIDO 🖊
Dated:	By:
	(Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States	agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	
CV-01A (12/07) SUMM	ONS

Document 3-2 Filed 05/23/2008

Case 2:08-cv-03371-RGK-SS

Page 1 of 1